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# Introduction:

The implementation of lockdown protocols by the United Kingdom Government on 26th March 2020 created a unique environment by restricting movement among non-essential workers and limiting social contact (Johnson, 2020). The primary reason was to reduce the spread of the disease and ease the burden on the National Health Service. However, the effects of this lockdown spread to all sectors, including hospitality, construction, education, travel, and the judicial system (ONS, 2020). From March 26th 2020 lockdown measures legally came into force and the UK police were given extra enforcement powers to reduce the spread of coronavirus, including the ability to instruct members of the public to return home or leave an area (UK Gov, 2020). The lockdown required non-essential shops such as pubs and retail stores to close and for non-essential workers to work from home if possible. Those that could not work from home were furloughed, with one in four people that had been employees having been on furlough at some point between March 2020 and June 2021 (ONS, 2021). Table 1 contains a full timeline of lockdown events from the beginning of lockdown on March 26th 2020 to the end of the third and final lockdown on July 19th 2021. These restrictions resulted in changes to the mobility of the general public to the point where even seismic noise was reduced by 50% for months at a time, with the period being described as “the great seismic quiet period” (Lecocq et al, 2020).  
This report investigates the effects of the national lockdowns on crime rates and also arrest outcomes over the full coronavirus period of March 2020 to August 2021. The areas selected for study are the North, East Midlands, West Midlands, South West and South East police constabularies of England and Wales. Specific forms of crime have been selected; these are:

* violence and sexual offences
* theft offences
* drug offences
* public order offences
* arson and criminal damage offences

Data from 2017 to 2022 is used so that a pre-covid comparison can be established. The data used is from <https://data.police.uk/> which contains official UK police records of every crime reported within the 43 geographic police forces in England and Wales, the British Transport Police, the Police Service of Northern Ireland and the Ministry of Justice.

Table : Key Lockdown events from March 2020 to August 2021 (IfG, 2022)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| March 26 (2020) | Lockdown measures legally come into force; police given powers to enforce restrictions |
| Apr 3 | Figures from transport office demonstrate greatly reduced usage of motor vehicles, rails and buses (Department for Transport, 2020) |
| april 16 | Lockdown extended for “at least” 3 weeks |
| April 30 | PM says “we are past the peak” of the pandemic |
| may 10 | People that cannot work from home should return but avoid public transport |
| june 1 | Phased re-opening of schools in England. The “Rule of six” is implemented, allowing six people from different households to meet outdoors. |
| june 15 | Non-essential shops reopen in England |
| june 23 | Relaxation of restrictions and 2m social distancing rule |
| june 29 | First local lockdown is announced in Leicester |
| july 4 | More restrictions are eased, with pubs and restaurants being allowed to operate |
| july 17 | Public transport can be used for non-essential purposes |
| july 18 | Authorities gain additional powers to enforce social distancing, such as restrictions on those not wearing face coverings (DHSC, 2020) |
| august 1 | Shielding guidelines for the vulnerable cease. Permitting 2 million people to leave home and return to work |
| August 3 | “Eat out to help out” scheme with discounts on meals at restuarants |
| august 14 | Further relaxation of lockdown, theatres and bowling alleys open. |
|  |  |
| september 14 | “Rule of six” gatherings are banned |
| september 22 | Return to working from home and a 10pm curfew for hospitality sector |
| october 14 | New three-tier system of restrictions is implemented |
| november 5 | Second lockdown comes into force |
| november 24 | Announced that 3 households can meet during the Christmas period |
| december 2 | Second lockdown ends |
| december 15 | Rules are to be relaxed over Christmas, but advised to keep celebrations small |
| december 19 | Tier 4 “Stay at Home” restriction is introduced. |
| december 21 | London and South East England put under tier 4 restrictions |
| december 26 | More areas of England enter tier 4 restrictions |
| january 6 (2021) | Enter third national lockdown |
| february 15 | Hotel quarantines for travellers from high-risk countries |
| march 8 | Schools open for primary and secondary school students. Outdoor recreation allowed between two people. “Stay at home” order remains in place |
| march 29 | “Rule of six” is allowed again, for outdoors. “Stay at home” order ends |
| April 12 | Non-essential retail shops reopen. Outdoor venues including pubs and restaurants reopen |
| may 17 | “Rule of six” is now allowed for indoors, 30 people allowed to mix outdoors. Indoor venues of pubs and restaurants reopen. |
| july 19 | Most legal limits on social contact removed, final sectors reopen such as nightclubs |

# Literature Review:

Approximately 90 countries followed the example set by Italy in March 2020 by implementing their own forms of national lockdown and curfews during the coronavirus pandemic (Euronews, 2020). Whilst the lengths of time and requisite powers to enforce lockdowns among the public varied by country, the vast majority of these lockdowns followed similar rules. Non-essential workers were to stay home, gatherings were restricted and schools and retail stores were shut. Studies around the world have been conducted to investigate the relationship between the coronavirus and crime. They have found substantial variations in which types of crime the lockdown protocols impacted as well as how these changes were distributed over different cities and countries (Nivette, 2021).

Crime takes many forms and is strongly associated with both a target and a victim’s mobility (Farrell et al, 2020) and the situational opportunity for a crime to take place (Clarke, 2012). As the lockdowns restricted the ability to leave the house and interact with the public, it is simple to theorise those certain types of crime that rely on mobility as well as opportunity would decline. Crimes such as robbery and assault could be expected to decline due to less members of the public being present in opportunistic settings late at night, as well as the closure of venues decreasing the amount of alcohol being consumed in city centres. Crimes such as burglary could be expected to decrease due to the increased guardianship of the property due to the stay-at-home order, restricting the potential burglar’s access and opportunity. However, other forms of crime such as domestic violence and child abuse could be expected to rise due to the closer proximity and greater time spent together of families within the home (Sri et al, 2021). These domestic situations may be exacerbated by the greater increase in alcohol being consumed at home, leading to increases in mental health issues, suicide, alcoholism, as well as previously mentioned domestic violence (Ramalho, 2020). In tandem with the rise of alcohol use is the rise of substance abuse, with increases of overdoses being witnessed in the USA, particularly in relation to opioids (Abramson, 2021). Within the UK, respondents to anonymous surveys have reported an increase in drug consumption and frequency due to factors such as boredom, more free time, and stress (CREW, 2020). Combined with issues such as poorer drug quality (such as lower quality heroin and the greater availability of fentanyl), this has led to the highest number of deaths by drug misuse in the UK in 2020 since records began (OHID, 2021). The lack of physical opportunity for some crimes may have led to an increase in cybercrimes. With the inability to generate an income through either physical crime or having been made redundant due to the pandemic, online fraud as well as email and social media hacking were seen to increase during the immediate months following the lockdown announcement in the UK (Buil-Gil, 2021).

Domestic violence within the home against women and girls has been dubbed the “shadow pandemic” (Mlambo-Ngcuka, 2020). Unfortunately, gender-based violence is known to rise during emergency periods (Unicef, 2022). The UK failed to take into account what effect the national lockdown would have on domestic violence rates, leading to 16 girls being murdered within the first month which was triple the rate at the same period in 2019 (Taub et al, 2020). The scale of isolation experienced by victims is a result of support networks such as shelters and help-seeking avenues being shut down during the pandemic, which forces victims to move back in with abusers (Women’s Aid, 2020). What makes coronavirus unique is the fact that “the accessibility of services and the ability of women to access these [aid] services will decrease” (Hersh, 2020). Due to the close proximity to their abuser, many women have felt unable to call help services and therefore text messages and emails to providers had sharply increased whereas calls had decreased (Graham-Harrison et al, 2020).

A number of research papers have been published focusing on the crime aspect of the pandemic. They use statistical methods such as wavelet analysis and spatial point pattern test for retrospective analysis of data. They also use machine learning such as support vector regression and linear regression to identify relationships between covid levels and crime rates (Ma et al, 2022). Others have used time series analyses as well as predictive models such as Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) to try and predict what crime rates would have been during 2020 if the pandemic had not occurred, and therefore see if there are significant differences between what was predicted and what the true rates were (Payne et al, 2020).

# Method:

Data:

The data used is openly sourced from <https://data.police.uk/> which contains information from 43 British police forces from 2017 – 2022. All police forces are used except for British Transport Police, Northern Ireland and Greater Manchester. Due to Greater Manchester switching over its system during 2020, some of their data reporting is inconsistent; therefore, they have been removed entirely. The website describes the data acquisition process as: “Every month each police force generates a Crime and ASB file and a Police Outcomes file in a set format. The forces upload these to a private server managed by the Single Online Home National Digital Team in the Government network, where the files undergo quality assurance. Copies of the data from police forces is then sent to the Ministry of Justice (MoJ), where they try to match the crimes with any court results contained in their own records. The MoJ send any matching court results back to the Single Online Home National Digital Team, where they are integrated with the existing data. All data is then anonymised before being published.” (Data.police.uk, 2022). From the data we are able to extract the following features:

* Which force reported the crime
* The crime types
* The outcome types
* Region
* Month
* Year

Region is created by assigning each row a value from [South West, South East, West Midlands, East Midlands, North] depending on which police force reported the crime. There also appears to be a lag at collecting data towards the end of 2021 as all crime levels dramatically decrease. This has been attributed to missing data that has not been cleaned and included within the dataset at the point of analysis. Regardless, the period of interest of March 2020 to August 2021 has not been affected.   
Five crime types are being investigated:

* Violence and sexual offences
* Theft offences
* Criminal damage and arson
* Public order offences
* Drug offences

Theft offences has been created by combining offences such as 'Other theft', 'Burglary', 'Shoplifting', 'Theft from the person', 'Robbery' and 'Bicycle theft'. The other main categories have not been joined with any other subcategories.   
Four outcome types are being investigated:

* No suspect identified
* Unable to prosecute suspect
* Suspect charged
* Other outcome

‘Other outcome’ is created by combining subcategories such as ‘Offender fined,’ ‘Offender given a caution’ but also includes ‘Defendant found not guilty’ and ‘Offender sent to prison’. Due to the large number of outcomes possible (24 in total), it made sense to keep the main variables of interest untouched and combine the rest into a single variable.

The inclusion of data from 2017 is to be used as a control, in this way the data contains three years of non-covid crime statistics (2017 – 2019) and two years of covid statistics (2020 – 2021).

Seasonal ARIMA:   
The Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average model is used within time series analysis to predict future values. It contains three terms, ‘p’, ‘d’ and ‘q’ that specify the level of autocorrelation, differencing, and partial autocorrelation. Put simply, this method finds identifiable patterns from the previous timesteps to predict future timesteps. The first step is determining whether the timeseries is stationary in order to set the level of differencing. This can be determined by looking at the plotted line graphs and identifying trends. From looking at the data, it appears that the data is non-stationary. A more conclusive method is to use an Augmented Dickey-Fuller test. The null hypothesis (p=0.05) is that the timeseries is non-stationary, therefore by failing to reject the null hypothesis indicates the non-stationarity of the time series, which is true in all crime type investigations.  
Once non-stationarity has been determined, the auto regression and moving average levels must be set. This can be established using autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) as well as the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to establish goodness of fit. Using the ‘auto\_arima’ function from statsmodels within Python 3, different variants of ‘p’, ‘d’, and ‘q’ within the ARIMA model can be examined with the time series data and the optimal parameters can be chosen by minimising the resulting AIC. Lastly, the data is being analysed by year (with monthly subdivisions), so a seasonal attribute of 12 is included to represent the monthly repetition.   
Once the optimal parameters have been found, the data for each crime type from periods 2017 – 2019 are used to predict the crime rates of 2020 and 2021. Included within this are the 95% confidence intervals of the predictions. These are then compared to the actual crime rates observed within 2020 and 2021 and whether there are any significant differences between them.

Percentage Changes:   
This investigated the percentage changes for the total rate of each crime type over the 2020 - 2021 period, as well as drilling down into the regional differences for each crime type. Firstly, the median value is selected for each crime type from 2019, this provides a baseline value that can be compared against. Each month of 2020 – 2021 is then compared against this baseline value and differences are noted for both the total crime over England, as well as the regional data. This allows us to see whether over time the crime rates start to fall back to the pre-covid rates. An issue is that this method does not take into account seasonal trends as every point is compared to one median value from 2019.   
Secondly, a percentage change analysis is conducted with a 12-month time lag. Therefore, the change between March 2020 and March 2019 is determined and so on for the time period. What this monthly difference provides is a step-by-step comparison between a year with no lockdowns or restrictions, and a year that has lockdowns. What is interesting is the comparison between years 2021 and 2020 as both years contained lockdowns. From these two years we can determine whether a potential lockdown effect reduces over time.

Chi-Squared test:  
Using crosstabulation, we can put the data into the correct format for a chi-squared test to be performed. In this situation, the chi-squared test is being used to test the independence of the two features, level of lockdown and crime type. The null hypothesis (p=0.05) is that the number of crimes is independent of the level of lockdown that the crimes were committed under. The test will generate the expected frequency of our variables. If the expected frequency differs significantly from the observed frequency, we can reject the null hypothesis. However, this does not necessarily mean that they are directly related, due to the potential for a confounding variable to exist that could make both variables appear to be related. It is also important to understand how a p-value is interpreted. A p-value is the probability that you would receive results of this extremity (in this case the difference between the expected and observed results) if the experiment was repeated multiple times and the null hypothesis was true. This means that even with a low and statistically significant p-value of p=0.03, you could expect your value to be this extreme 3% of the time if the null hypothesis was correct.

Probability of an outcome:  
To account for the different numbers of crimes occurring each year, we can group the data by year, crime type or region, then have outcome type as our output variable. We can then normalise these figures between a range of [0,1] to generate probabilities for each specific outcome type. For example, we can find the probability that the outcome “No suspect identified” would occur for a crime type of “Criminal damage and arson” in the year 2019. This can also be done for regions, for example we can find the probability that the outcome “Suspect charged” occurred in the East Midlands in 2020. To make things easier to read, these figures can be converted to percentages as well as plotted in stacked bar charts, stratified by year. From this information we can make observations as to how the probability of an outcome type changed from year to year, answering queries such as whether a suspect was more likely to be identified for certain crimes or in certain regions during covid or non-covid times?

Bayes Network:   
For drug offences, the CSEW estimates 120,000 drug users every month (drugmisuseexceltable). The UK government announced a 10 year plan to help tackle drug abuse and reduce crime (From harm to hope)   
For violent crime, the CSEW (Crime Survey for England and Wales) estimated that 49% of violent incidents were reported to the police (thenatureofviolentcrimewebpage). The UK has a high focus on violent crime, using a “Whole-System” approach with Violence Reduction Units being setup in 2019 in 18 areas of England and Wales (whole system approach webpage).   
For criminal damage and arson, the CSEW estimates 82,000 incidents a month. (crimeinenglandandwalesappendixtables). The Metropolitan police is considering criminal damage as a “lower level” crime that it may not pursue in efforts to save £400m (metpolicetostopinvestigating).
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